

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/809,160  | 03/25/2004     | Evan J. Segal        | DMNZ 2 00048        | 7385              |  |  |
| 27885 7   | 590 10/24/2006 | EXAMINER             |                     |                   |  |  |
| FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP<br>1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR |                |                      | DUNWOODY            | DUNWOODY, AARON M |  |  |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114   |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |  |
|   | •              |                      | 3679                |                   |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/809,160        | SEGAL ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Aaron M. Dunwoody | 3679         |  |  |

|   | Aaron W. Dunwoody   | 3079   |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add                               | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI  | R ALLOWANCE.                                     |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m               | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH  | ig date of the final rejecti                     | on.  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply oric<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri                         | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | o avoid dismissal of th                          |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered b                            | ecause                                     |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   |   |  | Coddoo                                     |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   |   | , ,  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   | tter form for appeal by materially re   | educing or simplifying                           | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |   | jected claims.                                   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | ompliant Amendment                               | (PTOL-324).                                |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>   | -   | timely filed amendme                             | ant canceling the                          |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | •   | •  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   | III be entered and an e                          | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-14,23-25,27 and 28</u> .   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa                           | ils to provide a                           |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | - ,   |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | st does NOT also the saudication i  | n nandition för allawa                           | naa baaayaa:                               |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | it does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa                           | nce pecause:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
| 13. ☐ Other:  |   | Aaron M Dunwood<br>Primary Examiner              | У  |
|   | •   | Art Unit: 3679                                   |  |